## Les Houches 2015 Higgs Working Group Summary

Josh Bendavid Massimiliano Grazzini, Kerstin Tackmann, Ciaran Williams



### June 10, 2015

- Gluon Fusion Higgs Predictions and Uncertainties
  - Inclusive cross section
  - $p_T$  Distribution
- What/How to Measure and Presentation of Results
  - Simplified Cross-Sections
  - Fiducial/Differential Cross Sections
- Off-Shell Higgs Production
  - Future measurements
  - Benchmark current calculations for high mass interference

## Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section

• Higgs XSWG meeting as part of Monday session:

https://indico.cern.ch/event/396887/

- Inclusive cross section now know to N<sup>3</sup>LO in infinite top mass limit  $\rightarrow$  scale uncertainty reduced from 7-8% to 2-3%
- Remaining uncertainties need to be carefully assessed, even 1% effects are relevant
- Important Remaining Uncertainties
  - pdf (+ $\alpha_s$ )
  - finite quark mass effects
  - Electroweak corrections

### Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: PDF Uncertainties

• Previously: Some tension between predictions from different pdf sets  $\rightarrow$  conservative envelope $\rightarrow$  6-7% uncertainty from pdf+ $\alpha_s$ 



4

⊡ ▶ < ≣ ▶

< ∃ >

## Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: PDF Uncertainties

• Now: Improvements in methodology in pdf fits give much more consistent results. With appropriate combination (Meta-pdf, CMC, MC-H), pure pdf uncertainty will be  $\sim 2\%$ 

	CT14	MMHT2014	NNPDF3.0
8 TeV	18.66 pb	18.65 pb	18.77 pb
	-2.2%	-1.9%	-1.8%
	+2.0%	+1.4%	+1.8%
13 TeV	42.68 pb	42.70 pb	42.97 pb
	-2.4%	-1.8%	-1.9%
	+2.0%	+1.3%	+1.9%

#### A comparison of ggF at NNLO

J.HUSTON, PDF4LHC, APRIL 2015

## Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: $\alpha_s$ Uncertainties

#### THE VALUE OF $\alpha_s$

PDG VALUE (AUGUST 2014):  $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1185 \pm 0.0006$ 

#### COMMENTS (S.F.)

- LATTICE UNCERTAINTY CURRENTLY ESTIMATED BY FLAG (arXiv:1310.8555) TO BE TWICE THE PDG VALUE (±0.0012)
- IT IS AN AN AVERAGE OF AVERAGES
- SOME SUB-AVERAGES (E.G. DIS) INCLUDE MU-TUALLY INCONSISTENT/INCOMPATIBLE DATA/EXTRACTIONS



- SOME SUB-AVERAGES (E.G.  $\tau$  OR JETS) INCLUDE DETERMINATIONS WHICH DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER BY EVEN FOUR-FIVE  $\sigma$
- Averaging the two most reliable values (global EW fit &  $\tau,$  both  $\rm N^3LO,$  no dep. on hadron structure) gives

 $\alpha_s=0.1196\pm 0.0010$ 

NEW PDF4LHC AGREEMENT

- PDG UNCERTAINTY CONSERVATIVELY MULTIPLIED BY 2
- CENTRAL VALUE & UNCERTAINTY ROUNDED: PDF SETS USUALLY GIVEN IN STEPS OF  $\Delta \alpha_s(M_z) = 0.001$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118 \pm 0.001$$

#### S. Forte

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: $\alpha_s$ Uncertainties



- The uncertainty in world average driven by the lattice determination is 0.0006
- Tension between lattice results and other determinations will be probably rising → more conservative estimate of the current uncertainty range is 0.115-0.118

#### S. Alekhin

**Higgs Summary** 

7

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

- $\bullet$  Pure PDF uncertainties on gluon fusion cross section  $\sim 2\%$
- Following PDF4LHC prescription for  $\alpha_s$  uncertainty and combination with PDF uncertainty gives a total pdf+ $\alpha_s$  uncertainty of  $\sim 3\%$
- More conservative (±0.003) estimate of  $\alpha_s$  uncertainty would give total pdf+ $\alpha_s$  uncertainty up to ~ 6%

## Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: Finite Quark Mass Effects

### A. Lazopoulos

- EFT rescaled by LO finite quark mass effects has a 0.8% variation between  $\bar{MS}$  and on-shell schemes
- NLO finite mass contributions probably have a larger dependence, to be checked
- Uncertainty from top mass variation in  $\bar{MS}$  scheme 0.7% (bottom mass variations negligible)
- Nearly small enough to ignore

# Gluon Fusion Inclusive Cross Section: Electroweak Corrections

### S. Uccirati

• Two factorization options for QCD/ EW:



回り くほり くほり ……ほ

- $\bullet \ \underline{\text{Partial factorization (PF)}}: \quad G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}} \to G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}} + \delta_{\text{\tiny EW}} \ G_{ij}^{\text{QCD,(0)}}$
- Complete factorization (CF):  $G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}} \rightarrow (1 + \delta_{\text{EW}}) G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}}$
- $\bullet \text{ Correct result: } G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}} \rightarrow \ G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}} + \delta_{\text{EW}} G_{ij}^{\text{QCD},(0)} + a_s G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}+\text{EW},(1)} + a_s^2 G_{ij}^{\text{QCD}+\text{EW},(2)}$
- $\bullet$  Even with conservative assumptions, deviation from complete factorization is small  $\to <\sim 1\%$  uncertainty on total cross section

- Experiments used so far NLO+PS Monte Carlo (Powheg) as starting point for acceptance measurements
- Most advanced calculations of Higgs p<sub>T</sub> spectrum are re-summed NNLO+NNLL (eg. HRes)
- In Run 1, some combination of reweighting and/or tuning of MC parameters (Powheg hfact) was used to more closely match higher order resummed calculation

- If applying reweighting, have to be very careful not to screw up other observables in the Monte Carlo
- "Tuning" of central scale choices or parameters to match central value from higher order/resummed calculation can be reasonable
- In all cases should propagate the full uncertainty of the Monte Carlo being used (and not try to constrain to the smaller uncertainty of the higher order calculation)

## Gluon Fusion $p_T$ Spectrum: Discussion/Conclusions

- Desirable closure tests: Monte Carlo should agree with higher order resummed calculation within its uncertainties (this test must be done with consistent inclusion/not of finite quark mass effects, etc) - closely related to the Higgs+jets study
- Greatly improved Monte Carlos are available (NLO+PS accuracy for Higgs+1 jet)  $\rightarrow$  smaller MC uncertainties on Higgs  $p_T$  spectrum



Hamilton, Nason, Re, Zanderighi - arxiv 1309.0017 🗉 🛌 🧃 🔊 🤉

13

Higgs Summary

## Gluon Fusion $p_T$ Spectrum: Discussion/Conclusions

- Desirable closure tests: Monte Carlo should agree with higher order resummed calculation within its uncertainties (this test must be done with consistent inclusion/not of finite quark mass effects, etc)
- Greatly improved Monte Carlos are available (NLO+PS accuracy for Higgs+1 jet)  $\rightarrow$  smaller MC uncertainties on Higgs  $p_T$  spectrum



Hamilton, Nason, Re, Zanderighi - arxiv 1309.0017

**Higgs Summary** 

- ATLAS and CMS results inferring constraints on Higgs width from off-shell  $gg \rightarrow H^* \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$  production and interference with continuum
- Progress in NLO calculation of this process
- Merged LO+PS Monte Carlo possible for both 0 and 1 jet off-shell production+interference in both Madgraph\_aMC@NLO (using generic loop-induced functionality) and VBFNLO
- Project underway to compare such merged results to the LO inclusive used so far, also at the level of matrix element likelihood discriminants of the kind already in use by the experiments (built from MCFM matrix elements)

(本部)) (本語)) (本語)) (語)

## Fiducial/Differential Cross Section Measurements

- First fiducial/differential cross sections from Atlas already available ( $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ , combination), CMS Run 1 results coming soon.
- Statistically limited for the moment



Higgs Summary

# Fiducial/Differential Cross Section Measurements: What to Measure

#### • Number of suggestions for what to measure with more data:

- Continue with both inclusive and exclusive jet bins
- Finer binning in Higgs  $p_T$  and/or angular proxies for  $p_T$  (a la  $\phi^*$ ) to probe low  $p_T$  region
- Measurements with several different jet  $p_T$  cuts
- Some suggestions for additional jet variables
- $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$  can be useful as well (with larger experimental uncertainties related to missing  $E_T$  reconstruction)
- VH would be interesting as well, but requires much more data

▲ 御 ▶ → モ ● ▶

# Fiducial/Differential Cross Section Measurements: How to Quote/Present Results

- Covariance matrix highly desirable (effect of unfolding plus possible correlated experimental systematics)
- Covariance matrix between different bins and between differential distributions and fiducial cross sections also useful
- For H→ ZZ → 4ℓ and H→ WW → 2ℓ2ν, could be useful/interesting to quote cross sections for Higgs+irreducible background together (with appropriate definition of fiducial phase space with m<sub>4ℓ</sub> or m<sub>T</sub>, m<sub>ℓℓ</sub>, etc). For H→ γγ, experimentally much more natural to continue subtracting the full background.
- Isolation as part of fiducial definition (to reduce dependence on production mode) is reasonable
- Measuring directly moments of distributions doesn't map well onto the experimental analysis (Theorists should just compute from the binned distributions if desired and properly account for the binning effect when comparing to theory.)

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

# Fiducial/Differential Cross Section Measurements: How to Quote/Present Results

- General point: Theorists would like the full set of corrections/subtractions needed to easily compare to standalone/fixed order/analytic resummed/etc gluon fusion predictions.
  - Irreducible background prediction (where not already subtracted)
  - Non-ggH Higgs prediction
  - Non-perturbative corrections
  - Acceptance/Efficiency of isolation cut per production mechanism
  - Acceptance/Efficiency relative to full phase space per production mechanism (needed for each bin of differential measurements?)
- (Even if fiducial phase space definition provides all needed info to compute them later with standard MC tools)

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

## Evolution of the Higgs couplings fits

- Main Run 1 Higgs combinations consist of grand combined likelihood fit for "μ"'s and κ's (scale factors to SM for Higgs cross sections or LO couplings)
- Extensive use of non-trivial kinematic selections, including BDT's, even mixing kinematic and detector inputs
- Good: Maximum possible sensitivity (this is how we discovered the Higgs after all)
- Bad: Theory predictions and uncertainties maximally entangled in results. Non-trivial updates require new results from the experiments.
- Evolution of this procedure in Run 2 is an open point
- Discussion this week (Thanks to F .Tackmann, A. David, M. Duehrssen)

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

## Evolution of the Higgs couplings fits: Simplified Cross Sections

- Basic idea: Experiments retain fully optimized selection/categorization at reconstruction/analysis level, but express results in terms of a set of simplified/pseudo-fiducial cross sections subdivided by production mode and phase space
- Reduce the theory uncertainties currently associated with correlating signal strengths across jet bins, different phase space, and/or extrapolating back to inclusive cross section
- Make results easier to interpret/reinterpret  $\rightarrow$  increase useful lifetime of the results (less frequent results as  $\int L$  doubling time increases)
- This effort would continue in parallel to "proper" fiducial and differential cross sections (with eventual convergence in high stats limit)

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

## Simplified Cross Sections: Example



- Example/strawman proposal shown for gluon fusion and VBF
- Orange boxes represent fit parameters for the combination defined as cross sections for a given production mode with (not fully fiducial) phase space cuts
- Have already discussed also VH/ttH, proposals for evolution/further subdivision of phase space with integrated luminosity (more details on twiki)

http://phystev.cnrs.fr/wiki/2015:

æ

groups:higgs:pseudoxsecs

- Concrete proposal for simplified cross sections for the proceedings
- SM-relevant scheme should be considered "fixed" for session 2 consideration, but further input useful for phase space regions relevant for BSM