# Loops and Multi-legs: Theory

Physics at TeV Colliders, Les Houches

2nd June 2015



Experiments always need smaller theory errors!

- LH wish-list 2013 How well did we do?
  - New techniques for IR subtraction
  - New methods for multi-loop integrals
  - Many new predictions for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering processes
  - automated QCD+EW corrections
- Automated NLO is hard at work: NLO+PS, ME merging at NLO etc.

# LHI3 wishlist : Higgs and related

Process	State of the Art	Desired		
Н	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (expansion in 1/m_t)$	$d\sigma @ NNNLO QCD (infinite-m_t limit)$		
	full $m_t/m_b$ dependence @ NLO QCD	full $m_{\rm t}/m_{\rm b}$ dependence @ NNLO QCD		
	and @ NLO EW	and @ NNLO QCD+EW		
	NNLO+PS, in the $m_t \to \infty$ limit	NNLO+PS with finite top quark mass effects		
H + j	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite-m_t limit)$		
	and finite-quark-mass effects	and finite-quark-mass effects		
	<sup>@</sup> LO QCD and LO EW	@ NLO QCD and NLO EW		
H + 2j	$\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD	$d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW		
	$d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW			
	$d\sigma(gg) @ NLO QCD (infinite-m_t limit)$	$d\sigma(gg)$ @ NNLO QCD (infinite- $m_t$ limit)		
	and finite-quark-mass effects @ LO QCD	and finite-quark-mass effects		
		@ NLO QCD and NLO EW		
H + V	$d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD	with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy		
	$d\sigma @ NLO EW$	$d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD		
	$\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm gg})$ @ NLO QCD (infinite- $m_{\rm t}$ limit)	with full $m_{\rm t}/m_{\rm b}$ dependence		
tH and	$d\sigma$ (stable top) @ LO QCD	$d\sigma$ (top decays)		
$\overline{t}H$		@ NLO QCD and NLO EW		
ttH	$d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (top decays)		
		@ NLO QCD and NLO EW		
$gg \rightarrow HH$	$d\sigma @ NLO QCD (leading m_t dependence)$	$d\sigma @ NLO QCD$		
	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite-m_t limit)$	with full $m_{\rm t}/m_{\rm b}$ dependence		

# LH13 wishlist : Higgs and related



finite top mass corrections at NNLO still challenging

## Precise Higgs predictions

inclusive N<sup>3</sup>LO scale var.  $\sim 3-5\%$ 

PDF error dominates

[e.g. Herzog, PSRI5 Kraków]





differential NNLO H+Ij

scale var. ~ 8%

PDF error ~ 5%

Boughezhal et al. 1504.07922



# LHI3 wishlist : top and jets

Process	State of the Art	Desired	
$t\bar{t}$	$\sigma_{\rm tot}$ (stable tops) @ NNLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (top decays)	
	$d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD	@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW	
	$d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW		
$\overline{t}\overline{t} + j(j)$	$d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (NWA top decays)	
		@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW	
$\overline{t}t + Z$	$d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD	
		+ NLO EW	
single-top	$d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (NWA top decays)	
		@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW	
dijet	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$	
	$d\sigma @ NLO EW (weak)$		
3j	$d\sigma @ NLO QCD$	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$	
$\gamma + j$	$d\sigma @ NLO QCD$	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$	
	$d\sigma @ NLO EW$		

## LHI3 wishlist : top and jets

Process	State of the Art		Desired
differential Czakon, Fied	for Tevatron (qq): Ier, Mitov 1411.3007	QCD TD V	$d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
$\overline{t\overline{t}} + Z$	$d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO (	LO QCD	$\frac{d\sigma(\text{NWA top decays})}{@ \text{NNLO QCD} + \text{NLO EW}}$ $\frac{d\sigma(\text{top decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}}{@ \text{OCD}}$
t-ch Brucherseifer 140	nannel: ; Caola, Melnikov ;4.7116	NLO OCD	+ NLO EW $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
3j $\gamma + i$	$d\sigma @ \text{NLO EW (weak)} \\ d\sigma @ \text{NLO QCD} \\ d\sigma @ \text{NLO QCD} \\ \end{cases}$		$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$ $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$
Currie, Gehrma Pires	nn, Gehrmann, Glover, 15xx.xxxxx		

### Precision Top and jets

x g ( x, Q<sup>2</sup>)

x g ( x, Q<sup>2</sup>)



Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov 1411.3007

jets at NNLO expected to have important impact on the gluon PDF

NNPDF3.0 approx. NNLO dijets only

better agreement between theory and data for AFB at Tevatron

LHC distributions on the way

faster/more efficient code in development





Global

# LH13 wishlist : EW gauge bosons

Process	State of the Art	Desired
V	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD	$d\sigma(lept. V decay) @ NNNLO QCD$
	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW	and @ NNLO QCD+EW
		NNLO+PS
V + j(j)	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma(\text{lept. V decay})$
	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW	@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
VV'	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma$ (decaying off-shell V)
	$d\sigma$ (on-shell V decays) @ NLO EW	@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
$gg \rightarrow VV$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$
$V\gamma$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V decay)$
	$d\sigma(PA, V decay) @ NLO EW$	@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
Vbb	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD
	massive b	+ NLO EW, massless b
$VV'\gamma$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
		@ NLO QCD + NLO EW
VV'V"	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
		@ NLO QCD + NLO EW
VV' + j	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
		@ NLO QCD + NLO EW
VV' + jj	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
		@ NLO QCD + NLO EW
$\gamma\gamma$	$d\sigma @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW$	$q_T$ resummation at NNLL matched to NNLO

# LH13 wishlist : EW gauge bosons

Process State of the Art	Desired
CD	$d\sigma(lept. V decay)$ @ NNNLO QCD
Karlberg, Re, Zanderighi arXiv:1407.2940	and @ NNLO QCD+EW
	NNLO+PS
$V + J(J)$ d $\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD	$d\sigma(\text{lept. V decay})$
Boughezal, Focke, Giele, Liu, DCD	$d\sigma$ (decaying off shall V)
Petriello arXiv:150402131 @ NLO EW	a NNLO (QCD + NLO EW)
	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$
$V\gamma$ $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{ NLO QCD}$	$d\sigma(V decay)$
$d\sigma(PA, V decay) @ NLO EW$	@ NNLO QCD + NLO EW
	$d\sigma$ (lept. V decay VV* amplitudes
Cascoli, Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathley,	+ NLO EW, mai Caola Henn Melnikov Smirnov
Cohrmann Pozzorini von	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
Genrmann, rozzonni, von	@ NLO QCD + Smirnov arXiv: 1408.6409, arXiv:
Manteuttel, Maierhoter, Iancredi,	$\frac{d\sigma(V \text{ decays})}{0 \text{ NLO OCD}} = 503.08759$
Torre, Weihs –	@ NLO QCD + 1 $d\sigma(V, docays)$
	(0) (V decays) ( $(0)$ NLO QCD + NLO EW
arXiv:1309.7000, 1405.2219, –	$d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$
408.5243.1504.01330	@ NLO QCD + NLO EW
	Tresummation at NNLL matched to NNLO
Cieri Coradeschi de Florian arXiv:1505031	62

#### $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$



#### NNLO inclusive in better agreement with ATLAS and CMS

[Gehrmann, Grazzini, Kallweit, Maierhöfer, von Manteuffel, Pozzorini, Rathlev, Tancredi 1408.5243]

discrepancies can also arise due to systematic errors when extrapolating fiducial results to inclusive ones

[Monni, Zanderighi 1410.4745]

#### NNLO methods

#### IR subtraction

Antenna [Glover et al.]

STRIPPER [Czakon]

qT [Catani, Grazzini]

N-Jettiness [Bougezhal et al.] talk tomorrow from F. Petriello

#### CPU intensive

improving convergence (locality, mis-binning) multi-loop techniques

canonical differential equations [Henn (2013)]

direct integration [Panzer (2014)]

 $pp \rightarrow VV^*$  [Caola et al. (2015)]

prospects for  $2 \rightarrow 3$ ? (pp  $\rightarrow 3j / pp \rightarrow H+2j$ )

multi-scale integrals still unknown unknown functions for integrals with internal masses

#### automated NNLO subtractions

Czakon, PSR15 Kraków

#### Collection of matrix elements required

 $\begin{array}{ll} \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \right| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathbf{\lambda}_{i} \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_{i}' \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \right, \mathbf{T}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{l} \right\} \right| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| f^{abc} T_{i}^{a} T_{j}^{b} T_{k}^{c} \right| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \right| \lambda_{k} \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_{k}' \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_{i}' \lambda_{j}' \right| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \left| \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \right| \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \left| \lambda_{i} \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_{i}' \left| \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n+2}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n+2}^{(0)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(1)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \right| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(1)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \lambda_{i} \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_{i}' \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(1)} \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{(1)} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \lambda_{i} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle, & \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(0)} \left| \mathcal{M}_{n}^{(2)} \right\rangle. \end{array} \right\}$ 

BLHA accord should be able to provide these matrix elements in the near future

rather simple extension - should make it's standardised

STRIPPER implementation: Czakon, Heymes, van Hameren (work in progress)

> similar efforts with Antenna subtraction

one-loop codes are able to provide the necessary ingredients but precision and speed are more important

### NLO EW+QCD

aMC@NLC	_MadGraph5	
Recola	OpenLoops	
GOSAM		

pp	$\rightarrow$	Z	+	3j
PP	· ·	_		$\mathcal{I}$

 $pp \to t\bar{t}H$ 

 $pp \rightarrow Z + 2j$ 

 $pp \to W + \gamma$ 

 $pp \to W + \leq 3j$ 

#### automated EW+QCD

(EW Sudakov's )[Chiesa, Montagnia , Barzè, Moretti, Nicrosini, Piccinni, Tramontano 1305.6837]

[Yu Zhang et al. 1407.1110][Frixione et al. 1407.0823]

[Denner, Hofer, Scharf, Uccirati 1411.0916]

[Denner, Dittmaier, Hecht, Pasold 1412.7412]

[Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, Schönherr 1412.5157]

QCD x EW for Drell-Yan [Dittmaier, Huss, Schwinn 1403.3216] [w/ interleaved QED/QCD shower Barzè et al. 1202.0465, 1302.4606, 1408.5766]

# NLO EW+QCD

- improved QED PDFs [NNPDF2.3, other groups in progress]
  - impact of photon induced processes
  - uncertainties in photon PDFs
- better understanding of EW+QCD matching to parton shower?
- assessment/comparison of the current EW+QCD tools: are we providing the necessary predictions to the experiments?

oretti, 7] .0823] .0916] .7412] 5157]

#### Outlook

- Overall: good progress for NNLO and NLO+EW since 2013
- Further development of NNLO tools needed for widespread use in the experimental analyses [Ntuples, ApplGrid, Rivet,...]
- NNLO beyond  $2 \rightarrow 3$  still needs a lot of work projects are underway
  - bottleneck at NNLO now in double virtual corrections
- Comparisons between new fixed order NNLO and NLO MC techniques
  - understanding theoretical errors (NNLO vs merged NLO)
  - impact of re-summations (Parton showers/explicit re-summation)
  - dynamical scale choices (e.g. m<sub>H</sub> vs H<sub>T vs</sub> CKKW/MiNLO in H+j)

